

NHIDCL/Ar.Pr./DPR/ICBR-III/T- 2590-D-N/Pkg-III/2023/3266 Date:19.09.2023

Reply to Pre-Bid Queries

To,
All Respective Bidders

Subject: Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report for Construction of 'Tuting-(Kunging) Kahebingo-Taphuk, Jorging -DBGG-2590 & DBGG-Nape,' Road Approved under ICBR (PH-III) Project in the State of Arunachal Pradesh (Total length: 114.00Km) (Package-III)-Reg.

Tender ID: 2023_NHIDC_768685_1

Sl no	Clause no	Queries	Reply			
			s. no	Description	Max pts	Sub-pts
1	Clause no 12.2 of Data Sheet : Second Stage- Technical Evaluation A (4)	Considering the very min. no. States and UTs in India having roads in high altitude, 2000 mtr or more, the threshold limit may be reduced to 1000-1500 mtr above MSL for DPR of Special Category Projects so as to enable the consultants to prepare a responsive & high-quality proposal and en masse participation in the tendering process for all 4 packages. Further, since the total project lengths are varying in different Packages, instead of fixed length in km, percentage of project Indicative Length for different packages may be considered for giving weightage for DPR of special category projects under the Technical Evaluation as below: DPR for special category projects: Aggregate length of DPR/ Feasibility study for single/2/4/ 6 lane in high altitude (2000 mtr or more above MSL) Highway 4.1 Upto 25% of indicative length – 7 Marks 4.2 25% to 50% of indicative length – 8 Marks 4.3 50% to 75% of indicative length – 9 Marks 4.3 75% to 100% of indicative length – 10 Marks	4	DPR for special category projects: Aggregate length of DPR/ Feasibility study for single/2/4/ 6 lane in high altitude (500 mtr or more above MSL) Highway	10	
			4.1	Upto 25 km		6
			4.2	25 to 50 km		7
			4.3	50 to 75 km		8
			4.4	75 to 100 km		9
			4.5	More than 100 km		10

Sant

1/2

18.09.2023

2	Clause no. 12.4 of Data Sheet : Third Stage Evaluation of Financial proposal	Considering the magnitude of this project and factoring the complexity of the assignment, the Consultant feels that the present Method of Selection, QCBS (75:25) needs to be altered as QCBS (80:20) ensuring more rigorous and intense selection process for this high performing job.	<i>As per RFP</i>
3	Clause no 3.1.1 v. of LOI	Arunachal Pradesh is a far flung area and considering the tough eligibility criteria and selection process, the consultant feels that the Bid Security of Rs. 2 Lakhs for each packages need to be consolidated for participation in all 4 packages or waived off for en masse participation of consultants in all 4 packages.	<i>As per RFP</i>
4	Clause no. 6.3 (b) Sr. No. 15 of GCC	Since all the 4 Packages of the road approved under ICBR (PH-III), a Project of high national importance, the balance 5% payment of Consultancy Fees should be released immediately after award of package to the contractor/concessionaire. A revision in Payment Schedule may be considered accordingly.	<i>As per RFP</i>
5	Clause no. 3 of Data Sheet	Considering the critical geographical location (India-China border area) and mostly of tribal population in Arunachal Pradesh, the Consultant suggests that the proposed duration of 6 months may not suffice in project fruition and needs to be extended reasonably, say 12 months time.	<i>As per RFP</i>

18/09/2023
General Manager (Tech)
Arunachal Pradesh.

Sand

42